

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Climate Change Policy: The Critical Role of Legal Scholarship in Shaping Sustainable Futures

Yusuf Mohamed^{1*} ¹ Department of Architecture and City Design, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

* Corresponding author email address: yusufmohamed@kfupm.edu.sa

Received: 2022-09-25	Revised: 2022-10-20	Accepted: 2022-10-24	Published: 2023-01-01
EDITOR: Patrika Handique  Patent Information Centre, Intellectual Property Facilitation Centre, Chhattisgarh Council of Science & Technology, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India Patriandique@gmail.com			
REVIEWER 1: Fatimah Sahdan  Faculty of Social and Political Sciences (FISIP) Diponegoro University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia fatimahsahdan@rocketmail.com			
REVIEWER 2: Shehzad Raj  School of Law, Universiti Geomatika Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia shehzadraj@geomatika.edu.my			

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The article is well-structured and presents its arguments clearly. However, the transition between sections could be smoother to enhance readability. Recommendation: Introduce subheadings to clearly demarcate the article's main sections, such as 'Introduction', 'Role of Legal Scholarship', 'Challenges and Opportunities', and 'Conclusion'. This will improve the flow and make the article more reader-friendly.

The conclusion effectively summarizes the article's main points and reiterates the importance of legal scholarship in climate change policy. To make the conclusion more impactful, it could provide a clearer call to action. Recommendation: End the article with a strong, clear call to action that outlines specific steps or directions for future research, policy development, or legal practice. This will leave readers with a clear sense of the article's practical implications.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The literature review provides a solid foundation for the article's arguments. Nevertheless, the review could benefit from a more critical analysis of the literature. Recommendation: Expand the literature review to include a critical discussion of opposing viewpoints or gaps within the existing research. This approach will not only enrich the article but also demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the field.

The argument that legal scholarship is critical to shaping climate change policy is compelling and well-articulated. To strengthen the argument further, the article could benefit from highlighting specific areas where legal scholarship has had a discernible impact. Recommendation: Provide examples of landmark cases or policies that were significantly shaped by legal scholarship. This specificity will lend more weight to the article's central thesis.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.