

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# The Influence of Private Law by Fundamental Human Rights

Fariba. Jouzdani<sup>1</sup>, Nader. Pourarshad<sup>2\*</sup>, Majid. Abbasabadi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Private Law, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Private Law, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran  
<sup>3</sup> Department of Private Law, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: naderpourarshad@gmail.com

Received: 2025-03-08	Revised: 2025-05-04	Accepted: 2025-05-13	Published: 2025-07-01
<b>EDITOR:</b> Tahereh Ebrahimifar Head of Sociology Department, Faculty of Arts, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: Tah.Ebrahimifar@iauctb.ac.ir			
<b>REVIEWER 1:</b> Vanessa Indama Public Administration Department, Basilan State College, Isabela City, Basilan, Philippines. Email: vanesindama@gmail.com			
<b>REVIEWER 2:</b> Shehzad Raj School of Law, Universiti Geomatika Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Email: shehzadraj@geomatika.edu.my			

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The statement "This debate, which continues to this day..." introduces the issue well but does not clarify the specific research gap your study addresses. Please add a sentence that explicitly states the novelty of your study compared to existing literature.

The discussion of the four models is clear, but their comparative relationships would benefit from a summary chart or table to highlight contrasts, overlaps, and legal consequences.

The hypothetical examples of Jack, John, and Mary are informative but inconsistent across sections. Ensure each model includes comparable situations to better illustrate contrasts.

The section titled "Reasons for the Influence of Human Rights on Private Law in the Iranian Legal System" lacks a comparative analytical lens. Consider comparing how Iran's application aligns with or diverges from models discussed earlier.

The final paragraph of the conclusion includes an abrupt shift from European to Iranian context. Consider a smoother transition or separation into two concluding paragraphs—one for the international view and one for the Iranian implications.

### 16. Repetitive Language in Model Definitions

The definitions of the four models (direct, indirect, non-application, judicial) include repetitive phrasing. Try to vary the structure and emphasize unique features of each.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The introduction does not present specific research questions. For a descriptive-analytical article, clearly formulated guiding questions can help structure the reader's understanding.

There is no explicitly stated theoretical framework guiding the analysis of the four models. Consider including a subsection or paragraph that identifies the legal-philosophical lens (e.g., positivist, natural law, interpretivist) you use.

The referencing style fluctuates (e.g., sometimes “(Katouzian, 2015, p. 33)” and other times “Smith, 2018, p. 54”). Please ensure uniformity—preferably APA or another consistent citation style throughout.

Several arguments are repeated from earlier sections. Consider consolidating overlapping arguments and reducing redundancy for conciseness.

While court cases are cited, the article lacks statistical or trend-based data (e.g., frequency of human rights-based invalidation of contracts). Including empirical grounding would strengthen your claims of a growing judicial trend.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.