

# An Analysis of Iran's Criminal Policy in Confronting the Misappropriation of Public Property by Government Employees

Abbas. Taheri<sup>1</sup>, Sadegh. Moradi<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Criminal Law and Criminology, CT.C., Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: sad.moradi@iauctb.ac.ir

Received: 2025-07-10


Revised: 2025-10-18

Accepted: 2025-10-25

Initial Publish: 2025-12-15


Final Publish: 2026-04-01

## EDITOR:

Cavid Qasimov<sup>1</sup>

Prof, Faculty of Letters Department of History, Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkey. Email: cavidqasimov@yyu.edu.tr

## REVIEWER 1:

Shehzad Raj<sup>1</sup>

School of Law, Universiti Geomatika Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Email: shehzadraj@geomatika.edu.my

## REVIEWER 2:

Pınar Reisoğlu<sup>1</sup>

Faculty of Social Sciences, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey. Email: pinarrisoglu@erdogan.edu.tr

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The statement “examining Iran’s criminal policy toward the misappropriation of public property represents a crucial necessity” lacks citation.

Add scholarly justification or comparative sources to strengthen the argument that this topic remains under-researched.

Define how each specific aim was pursued—e.g., which legal documents, what time frame, and what analytical criteria.

Provide at least one international legal reference (e.g., UNCAC Article 19 or OECD guidelines) to situate the Iranian notion within global criminological frameworks.

Support this claim with documented examples or expert commentary to avoid appearing speculative.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Discuss whether this article’s breadth causes interpretive overreach or legal uncertainty in prosecution.

Clarify the boundary between state-owned enterprises and public institutions—a contested issue in Iranian administrative law.

Explain how these theories translate into policy design within Iran’s institutional context; otherwise, the theoretical inclusion feels cursory.

Add textual examples of specific statutes or judicial decisions that illustrate these ambiguities.

However, the discussion omits quantitative evidence or assessment of the 2011 Law on Promoting Administrative Health's effectiveness; suggest incorporating evaluation studies or official reports.

Consider adding judicial interpretations or case examples demonstrating how courts have applied this article to real cases.

Elaborate on the extent of implementation—what ministries or agencies have adopted such systems and with what measurable results?

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.